Prepared for submission to JHEP

Dark matter influence on black objects thermodynamics

arXiv:1704.01370v1 [hep-th] 5 Apr 2017

Marek Rogatko[1] **Aneta Wojnar**[2]

Institute of Physics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
20-031 Lublin, pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, Poland

Abstract: Physical process version of the first law of black hole thermodynamics in Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* gravity was derived. The *dark matter* sector is mimicked by the additional U(1)-gauge field coupled to the ordinary Maxwell one. By considering any cross section of the black hole event horizon to the future of the bifurcation surface, the equilibrium state version of the first law of black hole mechanics was achieved. The considerations were generalized to the case of Einstein-Yang-Mills *dark matter* gravity theory. The main conclusion is that the influence of *dark matter* is crucial in the formation process of black objects. This fact may constitute the explanation of the recent observations of the enormous mass of the super luminous quasars formed in a relatively short time after Big Bang.

Keywords: Classical Theories of Gravity, Black Holes

[1]rogat@kft.umcs.lublin.pl, marek.rogatko@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl
[2]aneta.wojnar@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Influence of the dark matter on physical process version of first law of thermodynamics **2**

2.1 Dark matter model 2

2.2 Physical version of first law 4

3 Equilibrium state version of the first law **8**

4 Yang-Mills with dark matter sector **10**

5 Conclusions **13**

1 Introduction

Black hole thermodynamics constitutes one of the key subject of the mathematical theory of black holes, both in four-dimensional Einstein gravity like in its generalizations. The subject in question is referred [1] as two versions. The first one, the so-called *equilibrium state version* and the other, *physical process* one. The *equilibrium state version* formulation was given in the seminal paper of Bardeen, Carter and Hawking [2], and its bounded with the linear perturbations of a stationary electrovac black hole to another stationary black hole state. Arbitrary asymptotically flat perturbations of a stationary black hole were elaborated in [3]. On the other hand, an arbitrary diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangian with a metric and matter fields being subject to stationary and axisymmetric black hole solutions, was treated in [4]-[7]. The higher curvature and higher derivative terms of the aforementioned problem were given in [8, 9]. The case of the Lagrangian being an arbitrary function of a metric, Ricci tensor and a scalar field was considered in [10]. The general case of a charged and rotating black hole with matter fields which were not smooth through the event horizon was given in [11]. Instead of choosing the bifurcation surface as a boundary of a hypersurface extending to spatial infinity, the cross section of the event horizon to the future of the bifurcation surface was taken into account.
The first law of black hole thermodynamics was also intensively studied in the case of n-dimensional black holes. Namely, the *equilibrium state* version was elaborated under the assumption of spherical topology of black holes and the supposition that the four-dimensional black hole uniqueness theorem extends to a higher dimensional case [12]-[13].

The *physical process* version of the first law of thermodynamics for a black object is realized by changing the stationary black hole state by an infinitesimal physical process, e.g., throwing some portion of matter into it. It was supposed that the final state will

settle down to a stationary one, and we can extract some information of the changes of black hole parameters and these facts in turn help us to find the form of the first law of thermodynamics. The *physical version* of black hole thermodynamics was studied in the realm of Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell gravity in [1] and [14]. The regularity of the solution is the key feature which should be satisfied in these covariant space methods [15, 16]. The methods are also useful in the case of the unconventional asymptotic behavior due to the fact that they enable to find conserved charges in a finite region of the black object, which in turn avoids the criticality placed at very large distances from the object in question.

The first law of mechanics for the low-energy limit of string theory black holes (the so-called Einstein-Maxwell-axion-dilaton gravity) were examined in [17], while the n-dimensional black hole [18] and black ring first law of mechanics, using the notion of bifurcate Killing horizons and taking into account dipole charges were elaborated in [19]. The *physical process* version of the first law of thermodynamics in the higher dimensional gravity containing $(p+1)$-form field strength and dilaton fields which constitutes the simplest generalization of five-dimensional one, which in turn contains stationary black ring solution with dipole charge [20], was given in [21, 22]. Then, the aforementioned method of finding the first law of thermodynamics was implemented in studies on n-dimensional Chern-Simons black rings [23], black Saturn [24] and black p-branes [25].

Recently the attitude to the first law of black hole mechanics for fields with internal gauge freedom was performed [26], as well as, there are some attempts to study the mechanical properties of accelerating black holes [27]-[29].

In our considerations we shall pay attention to the problem of the first law of thermodynamics for Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* gravity, where the *dark matter* sector will be mimicked by the $U(1)$-gauge field coupled to the Maxwell one. Then, we take into account the Yang-Mills generalization of the theory in question. The main motivation standing behind our research is to explain the possibility of existence of supermassive black object in the early Universe which is the puzzle for the contemporary high energy astrophysics. The tantalizing question is related to the question how such a supermassive object can be created in a relatively short time after Big Bang.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In section 2 we describe the main features of the model under inspection with the two $U(1)$-gauge fields coupled together, paying attention to its possible experimental confirmation, as well as, string/M-theory justification of the theory in question. Section 3 is devoted to the equilibrium state version of the first law of thermodynamics for black holes in the considered theory, whereas in section 4, we elaborate its Yang-Mills generalization. In section 5 we conclude our investigations.

2 Influence of the dark matter on physical process version of first law of thermodynamics

2.1 Dark matter model

The motivation standing behind our researches is to elaborate the imprint of the *dark matter* on physical phenomena being one of the most intriguing question of the contemporary physics. It turns out that such an evidence can be found in cosmic structure formation

processes, where *dark matter* constitutes a kind of a scaffolding for the ordinary matter to accrete. The key prediction of the current understanding of the creation of structures in the Universe is the so-called ΛCDM model, foresees that galaxies are embedded in very extended massive halos composed of *dark matter*, which in turns are surrounded by smaller *dark matter* sub-halos. The sub-halo *dark matter* clumps are large enough to accumulate gas and dust in order to form satellite galaxies, which can orbit around the host ones. In principle smaller galaxies can be circled by much smaller sub-halo *dark matter* satellites, almost invisible to telescopes [30]. It leads to the conclusion that in the nearby of the Milky Way one can suppose that such kind of structures can also exist. On the other hand, *dark matter* interaction with the Standard Model particles is one of the main theoretical searches of the particle physics in the early Universe [31, 32].

Collapse of neutron stars and emergence of the first star generations can deliver some other hints for these researches in question [33]-[35]. The existence of *dark matter* can affect black hole growth during the early stages of our Universe. The numerical studies of *dark matter* and dark energy collapse and their interactions with black holes and wormholes were investigated in [36, 37].

Physics beyond the Standard Model, implemented for the explanation *dark matter* non-gravitational interactions, increases the interests in gamma rays emissions coming from dwarf galaxies, possible dilaton-like coupling to photons caused by ultralight *dark matter*, as well as, oscillations of the fine structure constant [38]-[40]. On the other hand, Earth experiments are also used to detect possible low-energy mass of *dark matter* sector, especially in e^+ e^- colliders [41]. BABAR detector set some energy range for dark photon production, i.e., $0.02 < m < 10.2GeV$, but no significant signal has been observed. The new experiments are planned to cover the energy region $15 \leq m \leq 30MeV$. Recently, the revision of the constraints on *dark photon* with masses below $100MeV$ from the observation of supernova 1987A are delivered [42].

In our research we shall consider the model of *dark matter* sector in which the additional $U(1)$-gauge field is coupled to the ordinary Maxwell one. The idea of *dark matter* sector coupled to the Maxwell one, has a strong astrophysical support provided by observations of 511 eV gamma rays, [43] , experiments detecting the electron positron excess in galaxy [44, 45], possible explanation of muon anomalous magnetic moment [46] and some evidences in Higgs boson physics [47, 48]. Collisions among galaxy clusters can also provide new tools for testing non-gravitational forces acting on *dark matter* [49].
On the other hand, the model in question has its justification in string/M-theory, where the mixing portal (term which couples Maxwell and the additional $U(1)$-gauge field) arises in open string theory, where both gauge states are supported by D-branes separated in extra dimensions [50]. It takes place, e.g., in supersymmetric Type I, Type II A, Type II B models. The massive open strings stretch between two D-branes. The massive string/brane states existence connect the different gauge sectors. Another realization of the above scenario can be performed by M2-branes wrapped on surfaces which intersect two distinct codimension four orbifolds singularities. The construction in question has its natural generalization in M, F-theory and heterotic string models.

The main motivation for our research will be the problem of the appearance of super-

massive black holes at very early stages of the Universe history. The recent observations reveal almost forty quasars at the distances greater than the redshift equal to six. Their masses are in the range of 12 to 17 billion solar masses [51]-[54]. The question poses by the observations is how in a relatively short time after Big Bang such huge black objects can be formed.

We conjecture that studies of the first law of black hole thermodynamics in the theory of *dark matter* with the additional gauge field coupled to the ordinary Maxwell one can support the possible answer to this problem.

2.2 Physical version of first law

In this subsection we shall examine the *physical version process* of the first law of thermodynamics for stationary axisymmetric black holes in Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* gravity. One destroys the stationary black object by throwing matter into the abyss of black hole in question, i.e., we consider both 'ordinary' and *dark matter* which are swallowed by the object in question. Our main interest will constitute the changes of the black hole parameters which enable us to find the first law of thermodynamics. Of course, one should assume that after the considered process, the object will settle to the stationary state.

The Lagrangian describing Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* gravity yields [55, 56]

$$\mathcal{L} = \epsilon \left(R - F_{\mu\nu}\ F^{\mu\nu} - B_{\mu\nu}\ B^{\mu\nu} - \alpha\ F_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where by ϵ we denote the volume element, $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}$ is the ordinary Maxwell field while $B_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_{[\mu} B_{\nu]}$ stands for the auxiliary $U(1)$-gauge field mimicking the *dark matter* sector which is coupled to Maxwell one. The coupling constant is denoted by α.

The source-free Einstein-Maxwell-*dark matter* equations of motion are provided by

$$G_{\alpha\beta} - (T_{\alpha\beta}(F) + T_{\alpha\beta}(B) + \alpha\ T_{\alpha\beta}(F,\ B)) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla_\beta \Big(F^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^{\alpha\beta} \Big) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\nabla_\beta \Big(B^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} F^{\alpha\beta} \Big) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The energy momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta} = -\delta S/\sqrt{-g}\delta g^{\alpha\beta}$ for the adequate fields imply

$$T_{\mu\nu}(F) = 2\ F_{\mu\alpha}\ F^{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}\ F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}(B) = 2\ B_{\mu\alpha} B^{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}\ B^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}(F,\ B) = 2\ F_{\mu\alpha} B^{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}\ F^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to achieve the expressions for the variation of mass and angular momentum for black hole in question, in the first step we perform variation of the Lagrangian given by (2.1) with respect to the fields appearing in the model. Namely, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\epsilon} = & \Big(G_{\mu\nu} - (T_{\mu\nu}(F) + T_{\mu\nu}(B) + \alpha\ T_{\mu\nu}(F,\ B) \Big) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\ & + 4 \left(\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\ \nabla_\mu B^{\mu\nu} \right) \delta A_\nu + 4 \left(\nabla_\mu B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\ \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} \right) \delta B_\nu + d\Theta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the symplectic three-form yields

$$\Theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \epsilon_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma}\left[\omega^{\delta} - 4\left(F^{\delta\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\,B^{\delta\nu}\right)\delta A_{\nu} - 4\left(B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\,F^{\mu\nu}\right)\delta B_{\nu}\right], \tag{2.9}$$

where we set $\omega_{\delta} = \nabla^{\beta}\delta g_{\beta\delta} - \nabla_{\delta}\delta g_{\beta}{}^{\beta}$.

Then having in mind the relation

$$\mathcal{J}_{\beta}[\phi_a,\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a] = \Theta_{\beta}[\phi_a,\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a] - \xi_{\beta}\,\mathcal{L}, \tag{2.10}$$

where ϕ_a denotes the adequate fields in the theory under inspection and the Lie derivative with respect to the Killing vector ξ_m stands for the variation of the the field in question, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a = \delta\phi_a$, one can define the Noether three-form. It is given by the expression

$$\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma\delta}[\phi_a,\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a] = \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta\chi}\,\mathcal{J}^{\chi}[\phi_a,\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a]. \tag{2.11}$$

The explicit form of $\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma\delta}[\phi_a,\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a]$ for Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* black hole is provided by the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma\delta}[\phi_a,\ \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi_a] &= d\Big(Q^{GR}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\beta\gamma\delta}\Big) \\ &+ 2\,\epsilon_{\chi\beta\gamma\delta}\left(G^{\chi}{}_{\rho} - T^{\chi}{}_{\rho}(F) - T^{\chi}{}_{\rho}(B) - \alpha\,T^{\chi}{}_{\rho}(F,\ B)\right)\xi^{\rho} \\ &+ 4\epsilon_{\chi\beta\gamma\delta}\,(\xi^{\rho}A_{\rho})\,\nabla_{\mu}\Big(F^{\chi\mu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\chi\mu}\Big) + 4\epsilon_{\chi\beta\gamma\delta}\,(\xi^{\rho}B_{\rho})\,\nabla_{\mu}\Big(B^{\chi\mu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\chi\mu}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Having in mind that $\mathcal{J}(\xi) = dQ(\xi) + \xi^{m}\mathbf{C}_{m}$ [14], where by $\mathbf{C}_{m}$ we have denoted a three-form built of the dynamical fields $(g_{\mu\nu},\ F_{\mu\nu},\ B_{\mu\nu})$, we can identify the quantity $Q^{GR}_{\alpha\beta} + Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\alpha\beta} + Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\alpha\beta}$ as the Noether charge for the theory under consideration. Namely, the Noether charge for the gravitational field is equal to $Q^{GR}_{\chi\beta} = -\epsilon_{\chi\beta\gamma\delta}\nabla^{\gamma}\xi^{\delta}$, while for $U(1)$-gauge fields one arrives at the following expressions:

$$Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\xi\beta} + Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\xi\beta} = -2\,\epsilon_{\xi\beta\gamma\delta}\left(F^{\gamma\delta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\gamma\delta}\right)\xi^{\rho}A_{\rho} - 2\,\epsilon_{\xi\beta\gamma\delta}\left(B^{\gamma\delta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\gamma\delta}\right)\xi^{\rho}B_{\rho}. \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, one obtains the following expression for the form $\mathbf{C}_{m}$ built of the fields appearing in the theory:

$$\begin{aligned}C_{\beta\gamma\xi\rho} &= 2\epsilon_{\delta\beta\gamma\xi}\left(G^{\delta}{}_{\rho} - T^{\delta}{}_{\rho}(F) - T^{\delta}{}_{\rho}(B) - \alpha\,T^{\delta}{}_{\rho}(F,\ B)\right) \\ &+ 4\epsilon_{\delta\beta\gamma\xi}\,\nabla_{\mu}\Big(F^{\delta\mu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\delta\mu}\Big)A_{\rho} + 4\epsilon_{\delta\beta\gamma\xi}\,\nabla_{\mu}\Big(B^{\delta\mu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\delta\mu}\Big)B_{\rho}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Let us notice that the condition $\mathbf{C}_{m} = 0$ leads to the case of source-free equations of motion for Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* system. However, if we consider non-gauge field contribution to the stress energy tensor and non-zero flux of Maxwell and *dark matter* fields, obtained by the current contribution to the action $\mathcal{L}_{cur} = 4\,A^{\mu}j_{\mu} + 4\,B^{\mu}\tilde{j}_{\mu}$, we get

$$G_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu}(F) - T_{\mu\nu}(B) - \alpha T_{\mu\nu}(F,\ B) = T_{\mu\nu}(matter), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}\Big(F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\mu\nu}\Big) = j^{\nu}(matter), \tag{2.16}$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}\Big(B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\mu\nu}\Big) = \tilde{j}^{\nu}(dark\ matter), \tag{2.17}$$

In our considerations $(g_{\mu\nu},\ A_\mu,\ B_\mu)$ constitute the source-free equations of motion of the underlying system. On the other hand, $(\delta g_{\mu\nu},\ \delta A_\mu,\ \delta B_\mu)$ are the linearized perturbations fulfilling the linearized Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* equations of motion with the source terms given as $\delta T_{\mu\nu}(matter)$, $\delta j^\nu(matter)$, $\delta\tilde{j}^\nu(dark\ matter)$, respectively. Having in mind relation (2.14), one gets the following:

$$\delta C_{\beta\gamma\xi\rho} = \epsilon_{\chi\beta\gamma\xi}\left[2\ \delta T^\chi{}_\rho(matter) + 4A_\rho\ \delta j^\chi(matter) + 4B_\rho\ \delta\tilde{j}^\chi(dark\ matter)\right]. \quad (2.18)$$

Because of the fact that the Killing vector field ξ_α describes also a symmetry of the background matter field. Consequently it provides the formula for the conserved quantities related to the Killing vector field, namely

$$\delta H_\xi = -\int_\Sigma \xi^\beta \delta C_\beta + \int_\Sigma (\delta Q[\xi] - \xi\cdot\Theta). \quad (2.19)$$

In the next step, let us choose ξ^α to be an asymptotic time translation t^α. This fact authorizes us to identify δH_t with the variation of the ADM mass of the considered black hole. It implies

$$\begin{aligned}\delta M = -\int_\Sigma \epsilon_{\delta\beta\gamma\zeta}\ [2t^\rho\ \delta T^\delta{}_\rho(matter) + 4t^\rho A_\rho\ \delta j^\delta(matter) \\ +\ 4t^\rho B_\rho\ \delta\tilde{j}^\delta(dark\ matter) + \int_{\partial\Sigma}\Big(\delta Q[t^\alpha] - t^\alpha\ \Theta_\alpha\Big),\end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

On the other hand, for the Killing vector fields ψ_β, which is responsible for the rotation in the adequate directions for black hole, δH_ψ comprises the variation of the angular momenta for *dark matter* Einstein-Maxwell black hole, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta J = \int_\Sigma \epsilon_{\delta\beta\gamma\zeta}\ [2\phi^\rho\ \delta T^\delta{}_\rho(matter) + 4\phi^\rho A_\rho\ \delta j^\delta(matter) \\ +\ 4\phi^\rho B_\rho\ \delta\tilde{j}^\delta(dark\ matter) + \int_{\partial\Sigma}\Big(\delta Q[\phi^\alpha] - \phi^\alpha\ \Theta_\alpha\Big).\end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Having defined the asymptotic characteristics of the black hole, i.e., the ADM mass and angular momentum, one can proceed to the *physical version* of the first law of thermodynamics. Let us assume that $(g_{\mu\nu},\ F_{\mu\nu},\ B_{\mu\nu})$ are solutions of the source-free Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* stationary axisymmetric system. As we examine the stationary axisymmetric black object the event horizon Killing vector field will be of the form

$$\xi^\alpha = t^\alpha + \Omega\ \phi^\alpha. \quad (2.22)$$

As we consider the *physical process* version of the first law of black hole thermodynamics, let us perturb the black object by throwing into it some ordinary and *dark matter*. One supposes that the black hole will be not disturbed in course of action under consideration and settles down to the stationary state. The development will cause changes of the ADM mass and angular momentum of the back hole. Moreover, the event horizon will be also modified.

Further, one assumes that Σ_0 is an asymptotically flat hypersurface which ends on the black hole event horizon $\mathcal{H}$. As in [14] we take into account the initial data on Σ_0 for the linearized perturbations of the fields $(\delta g_{\mu\nu},\ \delta F_{\beta\delta},\ \delta B_{\beta\delta})$ with $\delta T_{\mu\nu}(matter)$, $\delta j^{\gamma}(matter)$ and $\delta\tilde{j}^{\gamma}(dark\ matter)$. We restrict our considerations to the case when the sources $\delta T_{\mu\nu}(matter), \delta j^{\gamma}(matter)$ and $\delta\tilde{j}^{\gamma}(dark\ matter)$ tend to zero at infinity, as well as, the initial data in question $(\delta g_{\mu\nu},\ \delta F_{\beta\delta},\ \delta B_{\beta\delta})$ disappear in the nearby of the black hole event horizon on Σ_0, i.e., at the initial time the black object is unperturbed. Because of the fact that the considered perturbations vanish close to the boundary of Σ_0, based on the equation (2.19), one arrives at the following:

$$\delta M - \Omega\ \delta J = -\int_{\Sigma_0} \epsilon_{\delta\beta\gamma\zeta}\ [2t^{\rho}\ \delta T^{\delta}{}_{\rho}(matter) + 4t^{\rho}A_{\rho}\ \delta j^{\delta}(matter) + 4\phi^{\rho}B_{\rho}\ \delta\tilde{j}^{\delta}(dark\ matter)] = \int_{\Sigma_0} \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\zeta}\ \beta^{\mu}n_{\mu} = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \beta^{\mu}k_{\mu}\ \epsilon_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma}, \tag{2.23}$$

where by n^{μ} we have denoted a future directed unit normal to the hypersurface Σ_0 for black object, while $\bar{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = n^{\delta}\ \epsilon_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma}$. In the last term of the above relation, we have replaced n^{β} for k^{β}, where k^{β} denotes the tangent vector to the null geodesic congruence of the event horizon. It is justified by the fact that β^{μ} is conserved and the assumption that all the ordinary and *dark matter* are swallowed by the black hole in question. Then the last term in the equation (2.23) can be rewritten in the form as

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \beta^{\mu}k_{\mu}\ \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\zeta} = 2\int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta T^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}(matter)\ \xi^{\gamma}k_{\alpha}\ \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\zeta} + \Phi_{BH}^{(matter)}\ \delta Q(matter) + \Phi_{BH}^{(dark\ matter)}\ \delta Q(dark\ matter), \tag{2.24}$$

where we set

$$\delta Q(dark\ matter) = -4\int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta\tilde{j}^{\mu}(dark\ matter)k_{\mu}\ \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\zeta}, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\delta Q(matter) = -4\int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta j^{\mu}(matter)k_{\mu}\ \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\zeta}, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\Phi_{BH}^{(matter)} = -A_{\beta}\ \xi^{\beta}\ |_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad \Phi_{BH}^{(dark\ matter)} = -B_{\alpha}\ \xi^{\alpha}\ |_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{2.27}$$

The final form of (2.25) stems from the fact that for both $U(1)$-gauge fields we have the following relations:

$$\nabla_{\mu}(A_{\beta}\ \xi^{\beta}) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}A_{\mu} + \xi^{\beta}F_{\beta\mu}, \qquad \nabla_{\mu}(B_{\beta}\ \xi^{\beta}) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}B_{\mu} + \xi^{\beta}B_{\beta\mu}, \tag{2.28}$$

and because the Killing vector field ξ_{α} describes the symmetry of the background solution, one gets $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}A_{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}B_{\mu} = 0$. Moreover, having in mind the Raychaudhuri equation and the fact that the shear and expansion vanish in the stationary background, one obtains that $R_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\ |_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. It implies further that for Maxwell and the *dark matter* gauge fields, we have the condition $F_{\mu\beta}F_{\nu}{}^{\beta}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\ |_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ and $B_{\mu\beta}B_{\nu}{}^{\beta}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\ |_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. Consequently, we arrive at the conclusion that $F_{\beta\gamma}k^{\beta} = 0$ and $B_{\beta\gamma}k^{\beta} = 0$. On the other hand, the asymmetry of the strength tensor for Maxwell and *dark matter* gauge fields enables us to write $F_{\beta\gamma}k^{\beta} \sim k_{\gamma}$

and $B_{\beta\gamma}k^{\beta} \sim k_{\gamma}$. The pullbacks of $F_{\beta\gamma}k^{\beta}$ and $B_{\beta\gamma}k^{\beta}$ to the black hole event horizon disappear. Therefore the pullback of $\nabla_{\mu}\Phi_{BH}^{(matter)}$ and $\nabla_{\mu}\Phi_{BH}^{(dark\ matter)}$ to the event horizon are equal to zero. It implies that on $\mathcal{H}$ one has the constancy of $\Phi_{BH}^{(matter)}$ and $\Phi_{BH}^{(dark\ matter)}$.

Using the Raychaudhuri equation and the fact that the null generators of the perturbed black hole event horizon match the null generators of the event horizon of the unperturbed black object, the following relation can be addressed [1]

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta T^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}(matter)\ \xi^{\gamma}k_{\alpha}\ \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\zeta} = \kappa\ \delta A, \tag{2.29}$$

where A is the area of the event horizon of a black hole while κ denotes its surface gravity. Summing it all up, the *physical process* version of the first law for stationary axisymmetric black holes in Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* gravity is provided by

$$\delta M - \Omega\ \delta J - \Phi_{BH}^{(matter)}\ \delta Q(matter) - \Phi_{BH}^{(dark\ matter)}\ \delta Q(dark\ matter) = 2\ \kappa\ \delta A. \tag{2.30}$$

From the equation (2.30) it can be seen that the key contribution to the mass of the black hole stems from the *dark matter* sector coupled to the ordinary Maxwell field. In the early Universe the *dark matter* formed the scaffolding on which the ordinary matter condensate. The influence of the *dark matter* on the process of early black hole formation may explain the riddle connecting explanation how such a giant can be formed in a relative short time after Big Bang.

The appearance of supermassive black holes at early stages of the Universe history is a challenge to the contemporary understanding of star and black hole formations. So far roughly over forty quasars with redshift greater than six have been detected, each of them harbored by a supermassive black hole with a mass over one billion of solar masses, when the Universe was less than one billion years old [51]-[54]. However, it can be only a tip of the iceberg, because of the fact that black hole growth and evolution in infant Universe may be hidden from our contemporary view [57].

3 Equilibrium state version of the first law

This section will be devoted to the derivation of the first law of black hole dynamics in the theory under consideration, by choosing an arbitrary cross section of the adequate event horizon of each black object to the future of the bifurcation sphere. As it was shown in [11] one can treat fields which were not necessarily smooth through the event horizon. The only requirement which should be satisfied is that the pull-back of the fields in question in the future of the bifurcation surface is smooth. For the $U(1)$ gauge fields in the considered theory with *dark matter* it will be the case. In what follows we suppose that the spacetime under consideration fulfills asymptotic conditions at infinity being equipped with the Killing vector ξ_{β}. The Killing vector field introduces an asymptotic symmetry [5], i.e., there exists a conserved quantity $H_{\xi_{\beta}}$ bounded with the symmetry generated by ξ_{β}

$$\delta H_{\xi} = \int_{\infty} \Big(\bar{\delta}Q(\xi) - \xi \cdot \Theta\Big). \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\bar{\delta}$ is the variation which has no effect on ξ_β since the considered Killing vector field is attended to a fixed background and it ought not to be varied in the above expression (3.1).

In the considerations we shall treat the stationary axisymmetric black object with respect to the Killing vector field (2.22). Moreover one examines asymptotic hypersurface Σ terminating on the part of the event horizon $\mathcal{H}$, to the future of the bifurcation surfaces. The inner boundary of the hypersurface Σ, $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ will constitute the cross section of the black hole event horizon. Similarly as in [11] we shall compare variations between two adjacent states of black objects in question.

In comparison of the two states of black holes there is a freedom which points can be picked up to correspond.

We consider the case when the null vector remains normal to the hypersurface $S_{\mathcal{H}}$, i.e., we make the hypersurface to be the event horizon and t_β, ϕ_β Killing vectors are the same. Just, $\delta t_\beta = \delta\phi_\beta = 0$ and the variation of the Killing vector ξ_β will be of the form $\delta\xi_\beta = \delta\Omega\ \phi_\beta$.

As in the previous section ($g_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\beta\gamma}$, $B_{\beta\gamma}$) are solutions of Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* equations of motion while their variations ($\delta g_{\mu\nu}$, $\delta F_{\beta\gamma}$, $\delta B_{\beta\gamma}$) constitute perturbations fulfilling the equation of motion for the considered system. One also requires that the pull-backs of $F_{\beta\gamma}$, $B_{\beta\gamma}$ to the future of the bifurcation surface are smooth, but not necessarily smooth on it [11]. The variations of the gauge fields fall off sufficiently rapid at infinity and these fields do not contribute to the canonical energy and canonical momenta. In our case

$$\delta M - \Omega\ \delta J = \int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} \Big(\bar{\delta}Q[\xi] - \xi\cdot\Theta\Big), \tag{3.2}$$

where we have denote the variation $\bar{\delta}$ by the expression

$$\bar{\delta}\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} Q[\xi] = \delta\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} Q[\xi] - \int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} Q[\delta\xi], \tag{3.3}$$

The Noether charge can be split into the adequate parts

$$Q_{\beta\gamma} = Q^{(GR)}_{\beta\gamma} + Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\beta\gamma} + Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\beta\gamma}, \tag{3.4}$$

where we set the following definitions for the adequate charges:

$$Q^{(GR)}_{\beta\gamma} = -\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\eta\zeta}\nabla^\eta\xi^\zeta, \tag{3.5}$$

$$Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\beta\gamma} = -2\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\eta\zeta}\ \Big(F^{\eta\zeta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\eta\zeta}\Big)\ A^\mu\xi_\mu, \tag{3.6}$$

$$Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\beta\gamma} = -2\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\eta\zeta}\ \Big(B^{\eta\zeta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\eta\zeta}\Big)\ B^\mu\xi_\mu. \tag{3.7}$$

The arguments quoted in the previous section provide that the following is satisfied:

$$\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\beta\gamma} + \int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\beta\gamma} = \Phi^{(matter)}_{BH}\Big(Q^{(F)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}Q^{(B)}\Big) + \Phi^{(dark\ matter)}_{BH}\Big(Q^{(B)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}Q^{(F)}\Big), \tag{3.8}$$

where the total charges connected with the gauge fields are defined by

$$Q^{(F)} = 2\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}F^{\gamma\delta}, \qquad Q^{(B)} = 2\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}B^{\gamma\delta}. \tag{3.9}$$

The variation $\bar{\delta}$ of the adequate quantities implies

$$\bar{\delta}\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\left(Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\delta\gamma}+Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\delta\gamma}\right)=-4\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\epsilon_{\delta\gamma}N_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}\left(F^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\mu\nu}\right)-4\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\epsilon_{\delta\gamma}N_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}\left(B^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\mu\nu}\right)$$
$$+\Phi^{(matter)}_{BH}\left(\delta Q^{(F)}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\delta Q^{(B)}\right)+\Phi^{(dark\ matter)}_{BH}\left(\delta Q^{(B)}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\delta Q^{(F)}\right),\tag{3.10}$$

where $\epsilon_{\beta\gamma}$ is the volume element on the hypersurface $S_{\mathcal{H}}$, while N_{β} is the ingoing future directed null normal to $S_{\mathcal{H}}$, fulfilling the normalization condition of the form $N_{\beta}\xi^{\beta}=-1$. Consequently we arrive at the following expression:

$$\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\xi^{\beta}\left(\Theta^{(F\alpha B)}_{\beta\delta\gamma}+\Theta^{(B\alpha F)}_{\beta\delta\gamma}\right)=4\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\epsilon_{\delta\gamma}N_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}\left(F^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\alpha}{2}B^{\mu\nu}\right)$$
$$+4\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\epsilon_{\delta\gamma}N_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}\left(B^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\alpha}{2}F^{\mu\nu}\right).\tag{3.11}$$

Further, taking into account the symplectic three-forms referred to the combinations of the $U(1)$-gauge fields and having in mind that for each gauge field one has that

$$F_{\mu\beta}\ \xi^{\beta}\sim\xi_{\mu},\qquad B_{\mu\beta}\ \xi^{\beta}\sim\xi_{\mu},\tag{3.12}$$

one can find that

$$\bar{\delta}\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\left(Q^{(F\alpha B)}_{\delta\gamma}+Q^{(B\alpha F)}_{\delta\gamma}\right)-\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\xi^{\beta}\left(\Theta^{(F\alpha B)}_{\beta\delta\gamma}+\Theta^{(B\alpha F)}_{\beta\delta\gamma}\right)\tag{3.13}$$
$$=\Phi^{(matter)}_{BH}\delta Q(matter)+\Phi^{(dark\ matter)}_{BH}\delta Q(dark\ matter),\tag{3.14}$$

where we denoted by $\delta Q(matter)$ and $\delta Q(dark\ matter)$, respectively

$$\delta Q^{(F)}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\delta Q^{(B)}=\delta Q(matter),\qquad \delta Q^{(B)}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\delta Q^{(F)}=\delta Q(dark\ matter).\tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, for gravitational field, one receives the following:

$$\bar{\delta}\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}Q^{(GR)}_{\delta\gamma}-\xi^{\beta}\ \Theta^{(GR)}_{\beta\delta\gamma}=2\kappa\ \delta A,\tag{3.16}$$

where $A=\int_{S_{\mathcal{H}}}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ is the area of the black hole event horizon.

One concludes that the equilibrium state version of the first law of thermodynamics for stationary axisymmetric black holes in Einstein-Maxwell *dark matter* gravity yields

$$\delta M-\Omega\ \delta J-\Phi^{(matter)}_{BH}\delta Q(matter)-\Phi^{(dark\ matter)}_{BH}\delta Q(dark\ matter)=2\kappa\ \delta A.\tag{3.17}$$

4 Yang-Mills with dark matter sector

In this section we shall provide the Yang-Mills description of the Einstein gravity coupled to the *dark matter* sector. Among all, such a model is widely used for example in the holographic description of p-wave superconductors and superfluids influenced by *dark matter* sector [58, 59].

The action is provided by

$$\mathcal{L} = \epsilon \left(R - F^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}\ F^{\mu\nu(a)} - B^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}\ B^{\mu\nu(a)} - \alpha\ F^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu(a)} \right), \tag{4.1}$$

where the strength tensor $F^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A^{(a)}_\nu - \nabla_\nu A^{(a)}_\mu + \epsilon^{abc} A^{(b)}_\mu A^{(c)}_\nu$ is used for Yang-Mills strength, while for the *dark matter* $SU(2)$-gauge field we apply the analogous definition built of $B^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}$.

Variation of the Lagrangian density

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\epsilon} &= \Big(G_{\mu\nu} - (T_{\mu\nu}(F) + T_{\mu\nu}(B) + \alpha\ T_{\mu\nu}(F,\ B) \Big) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ 4 \left(\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\ \nabla_\mu B^{\mu\nu(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{abc} A^{(b)}_\mu B^{\mu\nu(c)} + \epsilon^{abc} A^{(b)}_\mu F^{\mu\nu(c)} \right)\ \delta A^{(a)}_\nu \\ &+ 4 \left(\nabla_\mu B^{\mu\nu(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\ \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{abc} B^{(b)}_\mu F^{\mu\nu(c)} + \epsilon^{abc} B^{(b)}_\mu B^{\mu\nu(c)} \right)\ \delta B_\nu + d\Theta^{(a)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

leads to the following equations of motion

$$G_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu}(F)\ -\ T_{\mu\nu}(B) - \alpha\ T_{\mu\nu}(F,\ B) = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\nabla_\mu \Big(F^{\mu\nu(a)}\ +\ \frac{\alpha}{2} B^{\mu\nu(a)} \Big) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{abc} A^{(a)}_\mu B^{\mu\nu(a)} + \epsilon^{abc} A^{(a)}_\mu F^{\mu\nu(a)} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\nabla_\mu \Big(B^{\mu\nu(a)}\ +\ \frac{\alpha}{2} F^{\mu\nu(a)} \Big) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{abc} B^{(a)}_\mu F^{\mu\nu(a)} + \epsilon^{abc} B^{(a)}_\mu B^{\mu\nu(a)} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, for $\Theta^{(a)\mu}$ we get the relation of the form

$$\Theta^{(a)\mu} = \omega^\mu - 4 \Big(F^{\mu\beta(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^{\mu\beta(a)} \Big) \delta A^{(a)}_\beta - 4 \Big(B^{\mu\beta(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} F^{\mu\beta(a)} \Big) \delta B^{(a)}_\beta. \tag{4.6}$$

By analogy with the previous calculations we try to compute the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \bar\delta \int_{\mathcal{H}} Q^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}{}^{(F\alpha B)}[\xi] + \bar\delta \int_{\mathcal{H}} Q^{(a)(B\alpha F)}_{\mu\nu}[\xi] - \int_{\mathcal{H}} \xi^\delta \Theta^{(a)}_{\delta\mu\nu}{}^{(F\alpha B)} - \int_{\mathcal{H}} \xi^\delta \Theta^{(a)}_{\delta\mu\nu}{}^{(B\alpha F)} = \\ - 2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta \Big[\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta} \Big(F^{\rho\delta(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^{\rho\delta(a)} \Big)\ A^{\beta(a)} \xi_\beta \\ - 2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta \Big[\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta} \Big(B^{\rho\delta(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} F^{\rho\delta(a)} \Big)\ B^{\beta(a)} \xi_\beta, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $Q^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}{}^{(F\alpha B)}$ and $Q^{(a)(B\alpha F)}_{\mu\nu}$ have the same form as in equations (3.6)-(3.7), with the replacement of $U(1)$-gauge field for the $SU(2)$ one.

To commence with, let us find firstly the integrals over asymptotic hypersurface at infinity from the adequate quantities. Namely

$$\int_\infty \Big(Q^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}{}^{(F\alpha B)}[t] + Q^{(a)(F\alpha B)}_{\mu\nu}[t] \Big). \tag{4.8}$$

We remark that for the stationary axisymmetric black hole which is the solution of Einstein Yang-Mills *dark matter* equations of motion, one has that $A^{(a)}_0$ and $B^{(a)}_0$ are asymptotically constant. This fact enables one to define

$$V_{(F)} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \Big(A^{(a)}_0\ A^{(a)}_0 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad V_{(B)} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \Big(B^{(a)}_0\ B^{(a)}_0 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.9}$$

Moreover, we can specify the notion of 'electric fields' connected with ordinary and *dark matter* Yang-Mills fields

$$E^{(a)}_{\beta} = \sqrt{h}\ F^{\beta\mu(a)}\ n_{\mu}, \qquad B^{(a)}_{\beta} = \sqrt{h}\ B^{\beta\mu(a)}\ n_{\mu}, \tag{4.10}$$

where n^{μ} is the unit normal to the spacelike hypersurface at infinity. The adequate charges measured on spacelike hypersurface at infinity can be written as

$$Q^{\infty}_{(F)} = 4\ \int_{\infty} \mid E^{(a)}_{\beta}\ r^{\beta} \mid, \qquad Q^{\infty}_{(B)} = 4\ \int_{\infty} \mid B^{(a)}_{\beta}\ r^{\beta} \mid . \tag{4.11}$$

The above is sufficient to establish that

$$\int_{\infty} t^{\gamma}\ \Theta^{(a)\ (F\alpha B)}_{\gamma\mu\nu} = \left(Q^{\infty}_{(F)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} Q^{\infty}_{(B)}\right)\ \delta V_{(F)}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\int_{\infty} t^{\gamma}\ \Theta^{(a)\ (B\alpha F)}_{\gamma\mu\nu} = \left(Q^{\infty}_{(B)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} Q^{\infty}_{(F)}\right)\ \delta V_{(B)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Because of the fact that the gravitational contribution gives us the ADM mass, as well as, defining the canonical momentum as $J = -\int_{\infty} Q[\phi]$, we arrive at the following form for the first law of thermodynamics

$$\begin{aligned} 2\ \kappa\ \delta A = {} & \delta M - \Omega\ \delta J + V_{(F)}\left(Q^{\infty}_{(F)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} Q^{\infty}_{(B)}\right) + V_{(B)}\left(Q^{\infty}_{(B)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} Q^{\infty}_{(F)}\right) \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta\left[\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta}\left(F^{\rho\delta(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^{\rho\delta(a)}\right)\ A^{\beta(a)}\xi_{\beta}\right. \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta\left[\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta}\left(B^{\rho\delta(a)} + \frac{\alpha}{2} F^{\rho\delta(a)}\right)\ B^{\beta(a)}\xi_{\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

The mass formula given by the equation (4.14) contains two terms proportional to the variation of the adequate duals of the considered gauge fields. The obtained form of the first law of thermodynamics resembles the form obtained in [11], in the case of the ordinary Einstein $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field. As it was pointed out the last two terms in (4.14) cannot be evaluated in the same way as in Einstein-Maxwell theory, due to the complicity of the $SU(2)$ Lie algebra. However, some gauge conditions were proposed in [60–62] to write them in the forms as $\Phi^{YM} = \mid \xi^{\beta} A_{\beta}{}^{(a)} \mid$, which is constant on the event horizon. The recent, mathematical treatment of this question is delivered in [26].

On the other hand, the dual of the gauge strength field on the horizon will be proportional to $(\xi^{\beta} A_{\beta}{}^{(a)}) \sim *F^{\beta\gamma}\epsilon_{\beta\gamma}$. If we approve this reasoning the last two terms in (4.14) reduce to $\Phi^{YM}_{(F)}\ \delta Q^{YM(F)}_{\mathcal{H}} + \Phi^{YM}_{(B)}\ \delta Q^{YM(B)}_{\mathcal{H}}$, where the charges counted on the event horizon are provided by

$$Q^{YM(F)}_{\mathcal{H}} \sim -\int_{\mathcal{H}} *F^{\alpha\beta(a)}, \qquad Q^{YM(B)}_{\mathcal{H}} \sim -\int_{\mathcal{H}} *B^{\alpha\beta(a)}. \tag{4.15}$$

However, there is no evidence that the chosen gauge is consistent with the above attitude. It leads us to the conclusion that the equation (4.14) is the form of the first law of thermodynamics for the black object in Einstein Yang-Mills theory with *dark matter* sector.

5 Conclusions

In our paper the analysis is addressed to the Einstein-Maxwell gravity with *dark matter* sector, which was mimicked by the additional $U(1)$-gauge field coupled to the ordinary Maxwell one. We consider the *physical process* version of the first law of black hole thermodynamics by destroying the stationary black object throwing into it both ordinary and *dark matter*. One assumes that the black hole is not destroyed and it settles down to the stationary configuration. Then, we elaborate the *equilibrium state* version of the first law of mechanics by choosing an arbitrary cross section of the black hole event horizon to the future of the bifurcation sphere. We proceed further to the studies the Yang-Mills extension of the theory in question.

Both methods reveal the fact that the key influence on the black hole masses exerts the additional $U(1)$-gauge field corresponded to the *dark matter* sector. It causes that the mass of the black object is significantly larger than in the ordinary Einstein-Maxwell gravity. In view of the fact that a non-baryonic *dark matter* constitutes over twenty percent of the mass of the observed Universe, the model in question may help to understand the recent astrophysical observations. They revealed that only a billion years after the Big Bang, the infant Universe was lit up by bright quasars powered by supermassive black holes. The biggest of them are of twelve to seventeen billion solar masses. *Dark matter* which was a scaffolding for the early Universe structure formations, could play the crucial role in the growth of the dark giants in the early stages of our Universe history.

Acknowledgments

MR and AW were partially supported by the grant of the National Science Center $DEC-2014/15/B/ST2/00089$.

References

[1] R.M.Wald, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics*, University of Chicago Press (Chicago, 1994).

[2] J.M.Bardeen, B.Carter and S.W.Hawking, *The four laws of black hole mechanics*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31** (1973) 161.

[3] D.Sudarsky and R.M.Wald, *Extrema of mass, stationarity, and staticity, and solutions to the Einstein-Yang-Mills equations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 1453.

[4] R.M.Wald, *Black hole entropy is the Noether charge*, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) R3427.

[5] V.Iyer and R.M.Wald, *Some properties of the Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 846.

[6] V.Iyer and R.M.Wald, *Comparison of the Noether charge and Euclidean methods for computing the entropy of stationary black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 4430.

[7] V.Iyer, *Lagrangian perfect fluids and black hole mechanics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 3411.

[8] T.Jacobson, G.Kang, and R.C.Myers, *On black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 6587.

[9] T.Jacobson, G.Kang, and R.C.Myers, *Increase of black hole entropy in higher curvature gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 3518.

[10] J.Koga and K.Maeda, *Equivalence of black hole thermodynamics between a generalized theory of gravity and the Einstein theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 064020.

[11] S.Gao, *First law of black hole mechanics in Einstein-Maxwell and Einstein-Yang-Mills theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 044016.

[12] P.K.Townsend and M.Zamaklar, *The first law of black brane mechanics*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 5269.

[13] M.Rogatko, *Staticity theorem for a higher dimensional generalized Einstein-Maxwell system*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 024031.

[14] S.Gao and R.M.Wald, *"Physical process version" of the first law and the generalized second law for charged and rotating black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 084020.

[15] G.Barnich, *Boundary charges in gauge theories: Using Stokes theorem in the bulk*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3685.

[16] G.Barnich and G.Compere, *Surface charge in gauge theories and thermodynamical in stabilities*, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008) 042901.

[17] M.Rogatko, *Physical process version of the first law of thermodynamics for black holes in Einstein-Maxwell axion-dilaton gravity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 3821.

[18] M.Rogatko, *Physical process version of the first law of thermodynamics for black holes in higher dimensional gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 104004.

[19] K.Copsey and G.T.Horowitz, *Role of dipole charges in black hole thermodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 024015.

[20] H.Elvang, R.Emparan, and P.Figueras, *Non-supersymmetric black rings as thermally excited supertubes*, *JHEP* **02** (2005) 031.

[21] M.Rogatko, *Black rings and the physical process version of the first law of thermodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 074008, Erratum *Black rings and the physical process version of the first law of thermodynamics*, *ibid.* **72** (2005) 089901.

[22] M.Rogatko, *First law of black ring thermodynamics in higher dimensional dilaton gravity with $p+1$ strength forms*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 024022.

[23] M.Rogatko, *First law of black ring thermodynamics in higher dimensional Chern-Simons gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 024008.

[24] M.Rogatko, *First law of black Saturn thermodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 124015.

[25] M.Rogatko, *First law of p-brane thermodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 044035.

[26] K.Prabhu, *The first law of black hole mechanics for fields with internal gauge freedom*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017) 035011.

[27] K.Dutta, S.Ray, and J.Traschen, *Boost mass and the mechanics of accelerated black holes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 335.

[28] M.Appels, R.Gregory, and D.Kubizniak, *Thermodynamics of accelerating black holes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 131303.

[29] M.Astorino, *Thermodynamics of regular accelerating black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 064007.

[30] T.K.Starkenburg, A.Helmi and L.V.Sales, *Dark influences*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **587** (2016) A24.

[31] M.Regis, J.Q.Xia, A.Cuoso, E.Branchini, N.Fornengo, and M.Viel, *Particle Dark Matter Searches Outside the Local Group*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 241301.

[32] Y.Ali-Haimoud, J.Chluba, and M.Kamionkowski, *Constrants on Dark Matter Interactions with Standard Model Particles from Cosmic Microwave Background Spectral Distortions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 071304.

[33] J.Bramante and T.Linden, *Detecting dark matter with imploding pulsars in the galactic center*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 191301.

[34] J.Fuller and C.D.Ott, *Dark-matter-induced collapse of neutron stars: a possible link between fast radio bursts and missing pulsar problem*, *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **450** (2015) L71.

[35] I.Lopes and J.Silk, *A particle dark matter footprint on the first generation of stars*, *Astrophys. J.* **786** (2014) 25.

[36] A.Nakonieczna, M.Rogatko, and R.Moderski, *Dynamical collapse of charged scalar field in phantom gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 044043.

[37] A.Nakonieczna, M.Rogatko, and L.Nakonieczny, *Dark matter impact on gravitational collapse of an electrically charged scalar field*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 012.

[38] A.Geringer-Sameth and M.G.Walker, *Indication of Gamma-Ray Emission from the Newly Discovered Dwarf Galaxy Reticulum II*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 081101.

[39] K.K.Boddy and J.Kumar, *Indirect detection of dark matter using MeV-range gamma-rays telescopes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 023533.

[40] K.Van Tilburg, N.Leefer, L.Bougas, and D.Budker, *Search for Ultralight Scalar Dark Matter with Atomic Spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 011802.

[41] J.P.Lees et al., *Search for a Dark Photon in e^+e^- Collisions at BABAR*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 201801.

[42] J.H.Chang, R.Essig, and S.D.McDermott, *Revisiting Supernova 1987A constraints on dark photons*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 107.

[43] P.Jean *et al.*, *Early SPI/INTEGRAL measurements of 511 keV line emission from the 4th quadrant of the Galaxy*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **407** (2003) L55.

[44] J.Chang *et al.*, *An excess of cosmic ray electrons at energies of 300-800 GeV*, *Nature* **456**, (2008) 362.

[45] O.Adriani *et al.* (PAMELA Collaboration), *An anomalous positron abundance in cosmic rays with energies 1.5-100 Gev*, *Nature* **458**, (2009) 607.

[46] G.W.Bennett *et al.*, *Final report of the E821 muon anomalous magnetic moment measurement at BNL*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 072003.

[47] H.Davoudiasl, H.S.Lee and W.J.Marciano, *"Dark" Z implications for parity violation, rare meson decays, and Higgs physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 115019.

[48] H.Davoudiasl, H.S.Lee, I.Lewis and W.J.Marciano, *Higgs decays as a window into the dark sector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 015022.

[49] D.Harvey, R.Massey, T.Kitching, A.Taylor and E.Tittley, *The nongravitational interactions of dark matter in colliding galaxy clusters*, *Science* **347**, (2015) 1462.

[50] B.S.Acharya, S.A.R. Ellis, G.L.Kane, B.D.Nelson, and M.J.Perry, *Lightest visivble-sector supersymmetric particle is likely unstable*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 181802.

[51] N.Fanidakis, A.V.Maccio, C.M.Baugh, C.G.Lacey, and C.S.Frenk, *The most luminous quasars do not live in the most massive dark matter haloes at any redshift*, *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **436** (2013) 315.

[52] N.J.McConnell, C.P.Ma, K.Gebhardt, S.A.Wright, J.D.Murphy, T.R.Lauer, J.R.Graham, and D.O.Richstone, *Two ten-billion-solar-mass black holes at the centers of giant elliptical galaxies*, *Nature* **480**, (2011) 215.

[53] X.B.Wu et al., *An ultra-luminous quasar with a twelve-billion-solar-mass black hole at redshift 6.30*, *Nature* **518**, (2015) 512.

[54] J.Thomas, C.P.Ma, N.J.McConnell, J.E.Greene, J.P.Blakeslee, and R.Janish, *A 17-billion-solar-mass black hole in a group galaxy with a diffuse core*, *Nature* **532**, (2016) 340.

[55] T.Vachaspati and A.Achucarro, *Semilocal cosmic strings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (1991) 3067.

[56] A.Achucarro and T.Vachaspati, *Semilocal and electroweak strings*, *Phys. Reports* **327** (2000) 347.

[57] E.Treister, K.Schawinski, M.Volonteri, P.Natarajan, and E.Gawiser, *Black hole growth in the early Universe is self-regulated and largely hidden from view*, *Nature* **474**, (2011) 356.

[58] M. Rogatko, K.I. Wysokiński, *P-wave holographic superconductor/insulator phase transitions affected by dark matter sector*, *JHEP* **03** (2015) 215.

[59] M. Rogatko, K.I. Wysokiński, *Condensate flow in holographic models in the presence of dark matter*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 152.

[60] A.Ashtekar, S.Fairhurst, and B.Krishnan, *Isolated horizons: Hamiltonian evolution and the first law*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 104025.

[61] A.Corichi, U.Nucamendi, and D.Sudarky, *Einstein-Yang-Mills isolated horizons: Phase space, mechanics, hair, and conjectures*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 044046.

[62] S.McCormick, *The phase space for the Einstein-Yang-Mills equations and the first law of black hole thermodynamics*, gr-qc 1302.1237 (2013).